



The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers

A N A M E R I C A N N A T I O N A L S T A N D A R D

GUIDELINES FOR PRESSURE BOUNDARY BOLTED FLANGE JOINT ASSEMBLY

ASME PCC-1—2000

Date of Issuance: February 28, 2001

There will be no addenda issued to this 2000 edition. The next edition of this Standard is scheduled for publication in 2003.

ASME will issue written replies to inquiries concerning interpretations of technical aspects of this Standard.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The Standards Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment that provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not "approve," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2001 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All Rights Reserved
Printed in U.S.A.

FOREWORD

ASME formed an Ad Hoc Task Group on Post Construction in 1993 in response to an increased need for recognized and generally accepted engineering standards for the inspection and maintenance of pressure equipment after it has been placed in service. At the recommendation of this Task Group, the Board on Pressure Technology Codes and Standards (BPTCS) formed the Post Construction Committee (PCC) in 1995. The scope of this committee was to develop and maintain standards addressing common issues and technologies related to post-construction activities and to work with other consensus committees in the development of separate, product-specific codes and standards addressing issues encountered after initial construction for equipment and piping covered by Pressure Technology Codes and Standards. The BPTCS covers non-nuclear boilers, pressure vessels (including heat exchangers), piping and piping components, pipelines, and storage tanks.

The PCC selects standards to be developed based on identified needs and the availability of volunteers. The PCC formed the Subcommittee on Inspection Planning and the Subcommittee on Flaw Evaluation in 1995. In 1998, a Task Group under the PCC began preparation of Guidelines for Pressure Boundary Bolted Flange Joint Assembly and in 1999 the Subcommittee on Repair and Testing was formed. Other topics are under consideration and may possibly be developed into future guideline documents.

The subcommittees were charged with preparing standards dealing with several aspects of the in-service inspection and maintenance of pressure equipment and piping. The *Inspection Planning Standard* provides guidance on the preparation of a risk-based inspection plan. Defects that are identified are then evaluated, when appropriate, using the procedures provided in the *Flaw Evaluation Standard*. Finally, if it is determined that repairs are required, guidance on repair procedures is provided in the appropriate *Repair of Pressure Equipment and Piping Standard*. These documents are in various stages of preparation.

None of these documents are Codes. They provide recognized and generally accepted good practices that may be used in conjunction with Post-Construction Codes, such as API 510, API 570, and NB-23, and with jurisdictional requirements.

The first edition of ASME PCC-1, *Guidelines for Pressure Boundary Bolted Flange Joint Assembly*, was approved for publication in 2000. ASME PCC-1–2000 was approved by ANSI as an American National Standard on November 15, 2000.

This page intentionally left blank.

PERSONNEL

ASME POST CONSTRUCTION COMMITTEE

SUBCOMMITTEES AND SUBGROUPS

As of November 15, 2000

STANDARDS COMMITTEE

| | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| J. R. Sims, Jr., <i>Chair</i> | K. Ennis |
| C. Becht IV, <i>Vice Chair</i> | W. J. Koves |
| J. Labrador, <i>Secretary</i> | D. A. Lang, Sr. |
| G. A. Antaki | C. R. Leonard |
| W. H. Bamford Jr. | D. A. Mauney |
| J. E. Batey | E. Michalopoulos |
| D. L. Berger | K. Mokhtarian |
| J. M. Bloom | C. C. Neely |
| G. Bynog | D. A. Osage |
| P. N. Chaku | F. Osweiller |
| C. D. Cowfer | J. T. Reynolds |

Subgroup on Material Properties

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| D. W. Gandy, <i>Chair</i> | W. F. Newell, Jr. |
| K. K. Coleman | R. E. Smith |
| W. D. Doty | E. Uptis |
| J. F. Henry | S. D. Yukawa |

Subgroup on Creep and Fatigue Crack Growth

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| J. M. Bloom, <i>Chair</i> | D. P. Jones |
| R. K. Bezzant | D. A. Osage |
| J. D. Fishburn | M. Saiedfar |
| D. W. Gandy | |

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

| | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| C. Becht IV, <i>Chair</i> | W. J. Koves |
| J. R. Sims, Jr., <i>Vice Chair</i> | D. A. Mauney |
| J. Labrador, <i>Secretary</i> | K. Mokhtarian |
| G. A. Antaki | J. T. Reynolds |
| D. L. Berger | |

SUBCOMMITTEE ON INSPECTION PLANNING

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| D. A. Mauney, <i>Chair</i> | D. A. Lang, Sr. |
| D. L. Berger, <i>Vice Chair</i> | I. Le May |
| P. A. Reddington, <i>Secretary</i> | C. R. Leonard |
| L. P. Antalffy | L. F. Mager |
| J. L. Arnold | E. Michalopoulos |
| J. E. Batey | C. C. Neely |
| P. N. Chaku | J. T. Reynolds |
| C. D. Cowfer | M. E. Schmidt |
| F. R. Duvic III | J. R. Sims |
| K. Ennis | H. N. Titer, Jr. |

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FLAW EVALUATION

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| W. J. Koves, <i>Chair</i> | G. Garic |
| K. Mokhtarian, <i>Vice Chair</i> | C. P. Hsiao |
| J. Labrador, <i>Secretary</i> | D. A. Osage |
| C. Becht IV | M. D. Rana |
| J. M. Bloom | R. S. Vecchio |
| J. D. Fishburn | R. K. Yee |
| D. W. Gandy | S. D. Yukawa |

Subgroup on Fully Quantitative

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| D. A. Mauney, <i>Chair</i> | G. A. Montgomery |
| P. A. Reddington, <i>Secretary</i> | M. E. Schmidt |
| H. N. Titer, Jr. | A. Troyanovsky |
| E. Michalopoulos | |

Subgroup on Flaws

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| D. A. Osage, <i>Chair</i> | D. S. Kim |
| C. P. Hsiao, <i>Vice Chair</i> | W. C. Lundine |
| T. A. Bacon | K. Mokhtarian |
| C. Becht IV | H. Murakami |
| J. M. Bloom | M. D. Rana |
| A. F. Deardorff | W. G. Reuter |
| J. D. Fishburn | M. Saiedfar |
| G. Garic | D. R. Thornton |
| P. Gremaud | R. S. Vecchio |
| D. P. Jones | R. K. Yee |

Subgroup on Qualitative

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| C. D. Cowfer, <i>Chair</i> | N. Y. Faransso |
| P. A. Reddington, <i>Secretary</i> | B. Kellerhall |
| C. R. Leonard | D. A. Lang, Sr. |
| L. P. Antalffy | L. F. Mager |
| J. L. Arnold | C. C. Neely |
| J. E. Batey | J. T. Reynolds |
| D. L. Berger | C. D. Rodery |
| P. N. Chaku | D. A. Wright |
| F. R. Duvic III | |

Subgroup on Semi-Quantitative

I. Le May, *Chair* P. K. Fanning
P. A. Reddington, *Secretary* G. M. Tanner
S. C. Cyr

Task Group on Bolted Joint Assembly

C. C. Neely, *Chair* J. E. Batey

SUBCOMMITTEE ON REPAIR AND TESTING

| | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| C. Becht IV, <i>Chair</i> | H. D. Hanrath |
| G. A. Antaki, <i>Vice Chair</i> | C. R. Harley |
| U. D'Urso, <i>Secretary</i> | C. D. Horne |
| L. P. Antalffy | R. J. Kirsch |
| J. A. Brown | D. L. Kurle |
| G. Bynog | W. F. Newell, Jr. |
| P. N. Chaku | J. A. Pfeifer |
| W. D. Doty | J. T. Reynolds |
| K. Ennis | C. D. Rodery |
| N. Y. Faransso | R. E. Smith |
| D. J. Fetzner | D. R. Thornton |
| S. J. Findlan | E. Upitis |

CONTENTS

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Foreword | iii |
| Committee Roster | v |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 2 Introduction | 1 |
| 3 Qualification | 1 |
| 4 Examination of “Working” Surfaces | 1 |
| 5 Alignment of Mating Surfaces | 2 |
| 6 Installation of Gasket | 2 |
| 7 Lubrication of “Working” Surfaces | 2 |
| 8 Installation of Bolts | 2 |
| 8.1 Bolt/Nut Specifications | 2 |
| 8.2 Bolt Lengths | 2 |
| 9 Numbering of Bolts | 2 |
| 10 Tightening of Bolts | 3 |
| 10.1 Tightening Method/Load Control Technique | 3 |
| 10.2 Bolt Elongation (Bolt Stretch) Determination | 5 |
| 10.3 Tightening Method/Load Control Technique Selection | 5 |
| 11 Tightening Sequence | 8 |
| 11.1 Measurement of Gaps | 8 |
| 12 Target Torque | 8 |
| 13 Joint Leak Tightness Test | 8 |
| 14 Records | 9 |
| 15 Joint Disassembly | 10 |
| 15.1 Disassembly Load Control | 10 |
| Figures | |
| 1 Indicator-Type Bolting for Through-Bolted Joints | 6 |
| 2 Indicator-Type Bolting for Studded Joints | 7 |

| | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3 | 12-Bolt Flange Tightening Sequence | 10 |
| 4 | 48-Bolt Flange Tightening Sequence | 11 |
| Tables | | |
| 1M | Target Torque Values for Low-Alloy Steel Bolting (SI Units) | 3 |
| 1 | Target Torque Values for Low-Alloy Steel Bolting (U.S. Customary Units) | 4 |
| 2 | Torque Increment | 5 |
| 3 | Example of Tightening Method/Load Control Technique Selection Based on Service Applications | 8 |
| 4 | Cross-Pattern Tightening Sequence | 9 |
| Appendices | | |
| A | Notes Regarding Qualifying Flanged Joint Assemblers | 13 |
| B | Recommendations for Flanged Joint Assembly Procedure Qualification | 15 |
| C | Recommended Gasket Contact Surface Finish for Various Gasket Types | 17 |
| D | Flatness Tolerance Flange Gasket Contact Surface | 19 |
| E | Alignment Tolerances | 21 |
| F | Alternative Bolt Numbering and Tightening Sequence, 324 Method | 23 |
| G | Use of Contractors Specializing in Bolting Services | 25 |
| H | Bolt Root and Tensile Stress Areas | 27 |
| I | Interaction During Tightening | 29 |
| J | Calculation of Target Torque | 31 |
| K | Nut Factor Calculation of Target Torque | 33 |

GUIDELINES FOR PRESSURE BOUNDARY BOLTED FLANGE JOINT ASSEMBLY

1 SCOPE

The bolted flange joint assembly (BFJA) guidelines described in this document apply to pressure-boundary flanged joints with ring-type gaskets that are entirely within the circle enclosed by the bolt holes and with no contact outside this circle.¹ By selection of those features suitable to the specific service or need, these guidelines may be used to develop effective joint assembly procedures for the broad range of sizes and service conditions normally encountered in the process industries.

2 INTRODUCTION

A BFJA is a complex mechanical device; therefore, BFJAs that provide leak-free service are the result of many selections/activities having been made/performed within a relatively narrow band of acceptable limits. One of the activities essential to leak-free performance is the joint assembly process. The guidelines outlined in this document cover the assembly elements essential for consistent leak-tight performance of otherwise properly designed/constructed BFJAs. It is recommended that written procedures, incorporating the features of these guidelines that are deemed suitable to the specific application under consideration, be developed for use by the joint assemblers.

3 QUALIFICATION

The user or his designated agent should provide, or arrange to have provided, as appropriate, essential training and qualification testing of the joint assemblers who will be expected to follow procedures developed from this Guideline. Qualification of the

selected joint assembly procedure may be appropriate for critical applications.

Appendix A provides some notes on qualifying flanged joint assemblers.

Appendix B provides a recommended flanged joint assembly procedure qualification test.

4 EXAMINATION OF “WORKING” SURFACES

Clean and examine all “working” surfaces before assembly is started.

(a) Examine the gasket contact surfaces of both joint flanges for appropriate surface finish (see Appendix C) and for damage to surface finish such as scratches, nicks, gouges, and burrs. Indications running radially across the facing are of particular concern. Report any questionable imperfections for appropriate disposition.

(b) Check gasket contact surfaces of both joint flanges for flatness, both radially and circumferentially. Report any questionable results.

Appendix D provides a recommendation for flatness tolerance.

(c) Examine bolt² and nut threads and washer faces of nuts for damage such as rust, corrosion, and burrs; replace questionable parts. If separate washers³ are scored or cupped from previous use, replace with new through-hardened washers (surface-hardened washers are not suitable). Previously used bolts should be thoroughly cleaned (such as wire brushing) before being reused.

(d) Examine nut-bearing surfaces of flanges for scores, burrs, etc.; remove protrusions, spot-face if required.

¹ Rules for design of bolted flanges with ring-type gaskets are covered in Mandatory Appendix 2 of ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1; see also Nonmandatory Appendix S-2 for supplementary design considerations for bolted flanges, the implementation of which is recommended for custom flanges designed in accordance with Mandatory Appendix 2.

² “Bolt” as used herein is an all-inclusive term for any type of threaded fastener that may be used in a pressure-boundary BFJA such as a bolt, stud, studbolt, cap screw, etc.

³ Use of washers is optional. However, it is generally recognized that the use of through-hardened steel washers will improve the translation of torque input into residual bolt stretch. See Notes on indicator bolt specification sheets, Figs. 1 and 2, for a through-hardened washer specification.